IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Cr.Misc. No.24279 of 2008

JAINUDDIN & ORS
Versus
STATE OF BIHAR

---

2. 17.7.2008 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the State.

The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Complaint Case No.2583 of 2007 for the alleged offences registered under sections 498A and 385 of the Indian Penal Code as well as section 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioners that the complainant has lodged the present case against the family members of her in-laws, including the unmarried Nanad (sister-in-law). It is further submitted that on perusal of the complaint, it would appear that there is no specific allegation against the petitioners. The specific allegation against petitioner no.1 is of demand of more dowry.

Considering the submissions, as noticed above, and on perusal of the allegations made in the complaint and the other materials brought on record,

it is directed that petitioners no.2 to 5, namely, Md. Hasen @ Dhodhru Hussain, Johra Khatoon, Hasina and Husne Aara @ Husna Ara, respectively, in the event of their arrest or surrender in connection with Complaint Case No.2583 of 2007 within a period of four weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Katihar, in connection with the aforesaid case, on the conditions mentioned in sub-section (2) of section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

Considering the facts and circumstances as well as the allegations made against petitioner no.1 Jainuddin, his prayer for bail is rejected.

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

PNM **(Shailesh Kumar Sinha, J.)**